THERMAL SIMULATION AND ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT OF UNGLAZED TRANSPIRED COLLECTOR SYSTEMS

by

David N. Summers

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE (Mechanical Engineering)

at the

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON 1995

ABSTRACT

Unglazed transpired collectors (UTCs) have recently emerged as a new solar air heating technology. These collectors consist of a perforated, solar-absorbing plate mounted on a large south-facing wall. Air is drawn through the holes in the plate, into the plenum, and finally into the building. Unlike most solar air heaters, they are not covered by a glazing, which eliminates the reflection losses associated with glazings. Complete systems are relatively inexpensive, efficient, and particularly suited to applications in which a high outdoor air requirement must be met.

A TRNSYS model has been created for UTC systems. The basic energy balances of the system are solved each time step. The UTC system model predicts the energy savings, which is comprised of the active solar gain, the recaptured wall loss, and the reduced wall loss. The model is used to perform parametric studies of UTC system operation.

Annual simulations are performed on several buildings, and the results are then extrapolated to find the potential statewide impact of UTC systems in different economic sectors in Wisconsin. The statewide economic potential of UTC systems is assessed for the commercial, residential, agricultural, and industrial sectors. The economic analysis is based on the P₁, P₂ method of life cycle savings. UTC systems on existing buildings are competitive with electric heating systems, but not with gas or oil heating. Electric heating is not widely-used in most buildings which are well-suited for UTC systems, with the exception of large apartment buildings. Therefore, there is no substantial statewide economic potential for UTC systems on existing buildings except in the residential sector. However, UTC systems should be considered for new buildings because a low first cost allows them to compete with gas and oil heating.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The professors of the Solar Energy Laboratory at the University of Wisconsin - Madison made innumerable contributions to this project. Thanks to Bill Beckman for providing the advice which guided the fundamental direction of this research and for editing my writing, as well as for providing the resources in the SEL. Sandy Klein offered numerous ideas which contributed to the initial focus of this research, but unfortunately (fortunately for him), he left on sabbatical before this thesis was complete. Thanks to John Mitchell for his helpful suggestions and practical knowledge of HVAC systems and utilities. And finally, thanks to Jack Duffie, the father of the SEL.

To Chuck Kutscher at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, many thanks for the prompt and thorough answers to my numerous questions. John Hollick at Conserval Engineering, Alfred Brunger at the University of Waterloo, and Don Wichert at the Wisconsin Energy Bureau also deserve my thanks for their help. Additional thanks for those who provided me with information on buildings so that I could use them in simulations.

Many thanks go to the students and staff at the SEL, especially to classmates Jon, Ian, Jay, Jim, and Joerg. Special thanks to Nate and Barrett for keeping the computers running and to Shirley for her behind-the-scenes work. The SEL has been a great environment to study and work.

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to the U.S. Department of Energy, the Wisconsin Energy Bureau, and the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-conditioning Engineers for providing funding for this research project. I would have never been able to complete this project and receive my degree without such generous financial support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
NOMENCLATURE	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Unglazed Transpired Collectors1.2 Introduction to TRNSYS and EES1.3 Background and Literature Review1.4 Scope of Study	4 5
UTC SYSTEM THEORY	
2.1 Energy Balances 2.2 Rate Equations	7 10
2.3 UTC System Operation	
2.5 Energy Savings	18
2.6 Minimum Approach Velocity and Maximum Collector Area	20
2.7 Complete System Air Flow	21 23
2.9 Pressure Drop and Fan Power	
TRNSYS MODEL	28
3.1 Type 71 Flow Logic	28 20
3.3 TMY Weather Data	
3.4 Warnings	31
SIMULATION RESULTS	
4.1 Model Validation	
4.3 Climate	39
4.4 Summer Bypass Set Temperature	42
4.5 Automatic Nighttime Bypass	
ECONOMIC THEORY	47
5.1 P ₁ , P ₂ Method of Life Cycle Savings	47
5.2 Collector Area Optimization	
6.1 Fuel and Equipment Costs	
6.2 Commercial Sector	53
6.3 Residential Sector	
6.4 Agricultural Sector	
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	70

7.1	Conclusions	70
7.2	Recommendations for Further Work	71
TRNSYS N	MODEL CODE TYPE71.F Code	72 72
	TRNSYS Manual Page	
	PERATURE ESTIMATION CODE	
B.1 B.2	TYPE69.F Code	96 102
SAMPLE 7	TRNSYS DECKS	105
C.1 C.2	NSTF Deck	105 107
CURVE FI	TS FOR AIR PROPERTIES	110
D.1	Density	110
D.2	Specific Heat	112
D.3	Conductivity	113
D.4	Viscosity	113
BIBLIOGE	RAPHY	115

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.1.	Perspective view of an unglazed transpired collector system	2
Figure 1.1.2.	Cross-sectional view of an unglazed transpired collector system	3
Figure 2.1.1.	Energy balance on air.	8
Figure 2.1.2.	Energy balance on wall.	9
Figure 2.1.3.	Energy balance on collector plate.	10
Figure 2.3.1.	Basic overview of the UTC system model.	13
Figure 2.3.2.	UTC system operation at $T_{amb} = 7 C$.15
Figure 2.3.3.	UTC system operation at $T_{amb} = 9 C$.	.15
Figure 2.3.4.	UTC system operation at T _{amb} = 11 C.	.15
Figure 2.7.1.	Complete overview of the UTC system model	22
	Approach velocity and air flow rate at gmin.	
Figure 3.1.1.	Flowchart of TRNSYS subroutine for UTC systems.	29
Figure 4.1.1.	Air temperature rise vs. Solar radiation.	34
Figure 4.1.2.	Active solar efficiency, GM facility.	37
Figure 4.4.1.	Annual energy savings as a function of bypass temperature	42
Figure 4.4.2.	Annual hours of overheating as a function of bypass temperature	43
Figure 4.5.1.	Increase in Qu by automatically opening bypass damper at night	44
Figure 4.6.1.	Reduced wall loss as a function of wall absorptivity	45
Figure 5.1.1.	Solar fraction and approach velocity as a function of collector area	48
Figure 5.2.1.	Life cycle savings for $P_1/P_2 = 4.0$.	5 0
Figure 5.2.2.	Life cycle savings for $P_1/P_2 = 3.0$.	50
Figure 5.2.3.	Life cycle savings for $P_1/P_2 = 6.0$.	51
Figure 6.2.1.	Life cycle savings for an existing building with gas heating	59
Figure 6.2.2.	Life cycle savings for a new building with gas heating	60
Figure 6.2.3.	Life cycle savings for an existing building with electric heating	60
Figure 6.2.4.	Life cycle savings for an new building with electric heating	61
Figure 6.2.5.	LCS = 0 curves for commercial sector.	61
Figure 6.3.1.	Life cycle savings for an existing building with gas heating	64
Figure 6.3.2.	Life cycle savings for a new building with gas heating	64
Figure 6.3.3.	Life cycle savings for an existing building with electric heating	65
Figure 6.3.4.	Life cycle savings for a new building with electric heating	65
Figure D.1.1.	Curve fits of isobars of density as a function of temperature	111

Figure D.1.2.	Curve fit of zero-order coefficient as a function of pressure	111
Figure D.1.3.	Curve fit of first-order coefficient as a function of pressure	112
Figure D.1.4.	Curve fit of second-order coefficient as a function of pressure	112
Figure D.2.1.	Curve fit of specific heat as a function of temperature	113
Figure D.3.1.	Curve fit of conductivity as a function of temperature.	113
Figure D.4.1.	Curve fit of viscosity as a function of temperature	114

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.4.1.	Sample UTC system parameters for reduced wall loss calculation	I
Table 2.9.1.	Sample UTC system parameters for pressure drop calculation	26
Table 4.1.1.	NSTF UTC plate parameters.	35
Table 4.1.2.	Recaptured and reduced wall loss.	38
Table 4.2.1.	Results of sensitivity analysis.	39
Table 4.3.1.	UTC system performance in different climates.	40
Table 6.1.1.	Average cost of delivered energy in Wisconsin.	52
Table 6.2.1.	Simulation results for typical commercial buildings in Wisconsin	53
Table 6.2.2.	Commercial sector population estimates for Wisconsin.	54
Table 6.2.3.	Outdoor air requirements for commercial buildings	5:
Table 6.2.4.	Estimated south wall area for commercial buildings in Wisconsin	57
Table 6.2.5.	UTC system technical potential for commercial buildings in Wisconsin.	58
Table 6.2.6.	Minimum P ₁ /P ₂ ratios for positive LCS in the commercial sector	62
Table 6.3.1.	Minimum P ₁ /P ₂ ratios for positive LCS in the residential sector	60
Table 6.4.1.	Ventilation rate guidelines for swine.	6
Table 6.4.2.	Swine farm size in Wisconsin.	6
Table 6.4.3.	Simulation results for UTC systems on swine shelters	68

NOMENCLATURE

Roman

A total collector area (m^2)

A_c cross-sectional area of plenum in direction of air flow (m²)

A_S collector surface area $(m^2) = (1-\sigma) A$

C_A UTC system cost per unit collector area (\$/m²)

C_E UTC system fixed cost (\$)

C_F fuel cost (\$/GJ)

cp specific heat (J/kg-C)
D hole diameter (m)
Dh hydraulic diameter (m)

f friction factor \mathcal{F} solar fraction FS fuel cost savings

g acceleration of gravity (m/s²)
Gains internal building gain (W)
h heat rate coefficient (W/m²-C)

ht collector height (m)

 I_T incident solar radiation on the collector surface (W/m²)

LCS life cycle savings (\$) m mass flow rate (kg/s)

Nu_x Nusselt number where x is the characteristic length

P hole pitch (m)

P₁ ratio of life cycle fuel savings to first-year fuel savings
P₂ ratio of life cycle capital expenditures to initial investment
P_C cross-sectional perimeter of plenum in direction of air flow

 (m^2)

Pr Prandtl number
Q annual energy (J/yr)

Q heat rate (W)

Re_X Reynolds number where x is the characteristic length

T temperature (C) or (K)

U heat rate coefficient (W/m²-C)
UA total UA for walls and roof (W/C)

V approach velocity (m/s) V_{plen} plenum velocity (m/s) Greek

α absorptivity

β fraction of conventional system supply air that is outdoor air

 ΔP pressure drop (Pa)

 $\Delta \rho$ density difference between ambient and plenum air (kg/m³)

ε emissivity

E_{HX} heat exchanger effectiveness of collector

 η_{SOI} solar efficiency

γ fraction of UTC system supply air that is outdoor air

 ρ density (kg/m³)

σ porosity of the collector plate

 σ_{Sb} Stefan-Boltzmann constant (W/m²-K⁴)

ζ non-dimensional pressure drop across collector

Subscripts

1 air supply from UTC system

2 air supply from conventional system

abs absorbed solar acc acceleration amb ambient air aux auxiliary avg average bal balance

bldg building loss

buoyancy in the plenum

col collector plate

cond, wall conduction through the wall

conv,col-air convection from the collector to the air

conv, wall-air convection from the outside wall surface to the air

D hole diameter (m)

film average film coefficient for air against the original wall

fric friction in the plenum gnd radiative ground ht collector height (m)

load total load max maximum min minimum

mix mix of outlet and recirculated air

out collector outlet air

pot potential conduction through the wall

plen plenum air

rad,col-sur radiation from the collector to the surroundings

rad, wall-col radiation from the outside wall surface to the back of the

collector

red, wall reduced wall loss

room room air save saved

seg market segment of an economic sector

skin skin loss from building

sky radiative sky

solair sol-air sup supply air

sur radiative surroundings trad traditional heating system

u useful energy

wall outside wall surface

Matrices and Vectors

[A] coefficient matrix for energy balances and rate equations[b] constant vector for energy balances and rate equations

[x] unknown vector